IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 410 OF 2005

M/s.Shyam Constructions. ... Appellant.
Versus.
Maharashtra Housig and ARea
Development Authority,. ... Respondents.

Shri R.A.Thorat with Shri Prashant P.Surve for the Appellant.

Shri Mottos, A.G.P. with Ms.Geeta Mulekar, A.G.P.for the Respondents.

CORAM : ABHAY S. OKA, J.

DATED : 11th April, 2005.

P.C.:

1. Shri Thorat states that the Appellant has already submitted a representation to the Respondents for allotment of another plot at Ghatkopar. He states that within a period of one week from today the Appellant will again submit a fresh representation addressed to the Respondent with the same request. He states that the Appellant is not pressing the appeal on merits and the Respondent be directed to consider the representation which may be made within a period one week from today. The suggestion of the learned Advocate for the Appellant is reasonable. Hence the following order is passed:

(i) The Appeal is dismissed as not pressed.

(ii) If a representation is made by the Appellant to the Respondents within a period of one week from today for allotment of another plot at Ghatkopar, the same shall be considered by the concerned authority of the Respondents within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of the fresh representation.

(iii) The parties to act on an authenticated copy of this order.

Judge.